arXiv:1703.05962v1 [math.KT] 17 Mar 2017

HOCHSCHILD COHOMOLOGY OF SOME QUANTUM COMPLETE INTERSECTIONS

KARIN ERDMANN, MAGNUS HELLSTRØM-FINNSEN

Abstract. We compute the Hochschild cohomology ring of the algebras $A = k\langle X, Y\rangle/(X^a, XY - qYX, Y^a)$ over a field k where $a \geq 2$ and where $q \in k$ is a primitive a-th root of unity. We find the the dimension of $\mathrm{HH}^n(A)$ and show that it is independent of a. We compute explicitly the ring structure of the even part of the Hochschild cohomology modulo homogeneous nilpotent elements.

1. Introduction

Let k be a field, and let $0 \neq q \in k$. Quantum complete intersections originate from work of Manin [Man87]. Here we focus on the algebras

$$A_q = k\langle X, Y\rangle/(X^a, XY - qYX, Y^a).$$

Such algebras have provided several examples giving answers to homological conjectures and questions. Perhaps most spectacular amongst these is Happel's question. In [Hap89] Happel asked whether an algebra whose Hochschild cohomology is finite-dimensional, must have finite global dimension. The main result of [BGMS05] gave a negative answer: It shows that the Hochschild cohomology of the quantum complete intersection A_q as above, when $a = 2$ and q not a root of unity, is finite-dimensional. However the algebra A_q is selfinjective, hence has infinite global dimension. Already earlier, R. Schulz discovered unusual properties for these algebras A_q, see [Sch86] and [Sch94].

Furthermore, there is a theory of support varieties in terms of Hochschild cohomology provided the algebra satisfies suitable finite generation properties, known as condition (Fg) (see [EHSST04] and [Sol06]). For A_q, this condition is satisfied precisely when q is a root of unity. The general theory of these support varieties has now been well established in several papers. However, in order to actually compute the varieties over a given algebra, one needs to determine the ring structure of the Hochschild cohomology, or at least modulo homogeneous nilpotent elements.

The results in this paper will be a contribution towards this goal. We determine the ring structure of the even part of $\mathrm{HH}^{2*}(A)$ modulo the ideal of homogeneous nilpotent elements for A_q when q is a primitive a-th root of unitity. The proofs are quite technical, but this illustrates the typical difficulties and computations one is faced with when trying to compute Hochschild cohomology.

First we present an unpublished result by P. Bergh and K. Erdmann which determines the dimensions of the Hochschild cohomology groups; this is done via exploiting Hochschild homology. Surprisingly, the answer is independent of a (see Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2). This suggests that perhaps also the ring structure might not depend too much on the parameter a. We determine explicit bases of the even part $\mathrm{HH}^{2*}(A)$ (see Section 5.2).

Furthermore, we compute the algebra structure of $\mathrm{HH}^{2*}(A)$ modulo the largest homogeneous nilpotent ideal. We show that it is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded, with degree zero part isomorphic to the polynomial ring in two variables, generated in degree 2. The explicit description is given in 4.2 when $a = 2$, and in 5.4 when $a \geq 3$.

Date: March 20, 2017.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16E40; Secondary 16U80; 16S80; 81R50.
Key words and phrases. Hochschild Cohomology; Quantum complete intersections.

An explicit description when $a = 2$ was also given in [BGMS05, Section 3.4]. We include this case (in Section 4), as it shows that it is part of the general pattern.

2. Preliminaries

More generally, let A be any finite-dimensional algebra over a field k, and let $A^e = A \otimes_k A^{\mathrm{op}}$ denote the *enveloping algebra*. We view bimodules over A as left modules over A^e. In this setting, the *Hochschild cohomology* of A can be taken as $\mathrm{HH}^n(A) = \mathrm{Ext}^n_{A^e}(A, A)$, the n-th cohomology of the complex $\mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(\mathbb{P}_A, A)$, i.e.

$$\mathrm{Ext}^n_{A^e}(A, A) = \ker d^*_{n+1}/\mathrm{im}\, d^*_n, \tag{2.1}$$

where $d^*_n = \mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(d_n, A)$ and where d_n are the maps in a minimal projective resolution:

$$\mathbb{P} : \cdots \to P_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{\mu} A \to 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Then the *Hochschild cohomology*

$$\mathrm{HH}^*(A) = \mathrm{Ext}^*_{A^e}(A, A) \tag{2.3}$$

is a k-algebra which is graded-commutative. There are various equivalent ways to define the product; here we will work with the Yoneda product.

We specialize now to the quantum complete intersections. Let a be an integer such that $a \geq 2$. We also let $q \in k$ be a primitive ath root of unity, and A is the k-algebra defined by

$$A = k\langle X, Y\rangle/(X^a, XY - qYX, Y^a). \tag{2.4}$$

We write x and y for the residue classes of X and Y, respectively.

In [BE08], for arbitrary parameter $q \neq 0$, an explicit minimal projective bimodule resolution $\mathbb{P}$ as in (2.2) was constructed. The nth bimodule in $\mathbb{P}$ is

$$P_n = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} A^e f_i^n, \tag{2.5}$$

the free A^e-module of rank $n+1$ having generators $\{f_n^0, f_n^1, ..., f_n^n\}$. For each $s \geq 0$ define the following four elements of A^e:

$$\tau_1(s) = q^s(1 \otimes x) - (x \otimes 1) \tag{2.6}$$

$$\tau_2(s) = (1 \otimes y) - q^s(y \otimes 1) \tag{2.7}$$

$$\gamma_1(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} q^{js}(x^{a-1-j} \otimes x^j) \tag{2.8}$$

$$\gamma_2(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} q^{js}(y^j \otimes y^{a-1-j}) \tag{2.9}$$

The maps $d_n : P_n \to P_{n-1}$ in $\mathbb{P}$ are given by

$$d_{2t} : f_i^{2t} \mapsto \begin{cases} \gamma_2\left(\frac{ai}{2}\right) f_i^{2t-1} + \gamma_1\left(\frac{2at-ai}{2}\right) f_{i-1}^{2t-1} & \text{for } i \text{ even} \\ -\tau_2\left(\frac{ai-a+2}{2}\right) f_i^{2t-1} + \tau_1\left(\frac{2at-ai-a+2}{2}\right) f_{i-1}^{2t-1} & \text{for } i \text{ odd} \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

$$d_{2t+1} : f_i^{2t+1} \mapsto \begin{cases} \tau_2\left(\frac{ai}{2}\right) f_i^{2t} + \gamma_1\left(\frac{2at-ai+2}{2}\right) f_{i-1}^{2t} & \text{for } i \text{ even} \\ -\gamma_2\left(\frac{ai-a+2}{2}\right) f_i^{2t} + \tau_1\left(\frac{2at-ai+a}{2}\right) f_{i-1}^{2t} & \text{for } i \text{ odd} \end{cases} \tag{2.11}$$

where the convention $f^n_{-1} = f^n_{n+1} = 0$ has been used. So far, q is arbitrary. Later in our setting we will simplify these expressions.

We will wish to identify nilpotent elements of Hochschild cohomology. This can be done by exploiting the following result of N. Snashall and Ø. Solberg, see Proposition 4.4 in [SS04].

Proposition 2.1. *Assume k is a field and A is a finite-dimensional k-algebra. Suppose η is a map into A representing an element of $\mathrm{HH}^n(A)$. If $\mathrm{im}(\eta)$ is in the radical of A then η is nilpotent in $\mathrm{HH}^*(A)$.*

3. Dimensions of Hochschild cohomology groups

We recall an unpublished result by Petter A. Bergh and Karin Erdmann which determines the dimensions.

By viewing A as a left A^e-module, it follows from [CE99, p. VI.5.3] that $D(\mathrm{HH}^*(A,A))$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Tor}_*^{A^e}(D(A),A)$ as a vector space, where D denotes the usual k-dual i.e. $D(-) := \mathrm{Hom}_k(-,k)$. In particular, we see that $\dim \mathrm{HH}^n(A) = \dim \mathrm{Tor}_n^{A^e}(D(A),A)$ for all $n \geq 0$. Moreover, it follows from [BE08] that A is a Frobenius algebra with Nakayama automorphism $\nu : A \to A$ defined by

$$\nu : \begin{cases} x \mapsto q^{1-a}x \\ y \mapsto q^{a-1}y. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

The bimodules $D(A)$ and ${}_\nu A_1$ are isomorphic; here the left action on ${}_\nu A_1$ is taken as $a \cdot m := \nu(a)m$. Consequently the dimensions of the Hochschild cohomology of A are given by

$$\dim \mathrm{HH}^n(A) = \dim \mathrm{Tor}_n^{A^e}({}_\nu A_1, A) \tag{3.2}$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

To compute $\mathrm{Tor}_n^{A^e}({}_\nu A_1, A)$, we tensor the deleted projective bimodule resolution $\mathbb{P}_A$ with the right A^e-module ${}_\nu A_1$. We then obtain an isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & {}_\nu A_1 \otimes_{A^e} P_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{1\otimes d_{n+1}} & {}_\nu A_1 \otimes_{A^e} P_n & \xrightarrow{1\otimes d_n} & {}_\nu A_1 \otimes_{A^e} P_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow\cong & & \downarrow\cong & & \downarrow\cong & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \oplus_{i=0}^{n+1}({}_\nu A_1)e_i^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\delta_{n+1}} & \oplus_{i=0}^{n+1}({}_\nu A_1)e_i^{n} & \xrightarrow{\delta_n} & \oplus_{i=0}^{n+1}({}_\nu A_1)e_i^{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots
\end{array}$$

of complexes, where $\{e_0^n, e_1^n, \ldots, e_n^n\}$ is the standard generating set of $n+1$ copies of ${}_\nu A_1$. Now given an element $\alpha \in k$ and a positive integer t, define an element $K_t(\alpha) \in k$ by

$$K_t(\alpha) := \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \alpha^j. \tag{3.3}$$

The map δ_n is then given by

$$\delta_{2t} : y^u x^v e_i^{2t} \mapsto$$

$$\begin{cases} qK_a(q^{v+1})y^{u+a-1}x^v e_i^{2t-1} + K_a(q^{u+1})y^u x^{v+a-1}e_{i-1}^{2t-1} & \text{for } i \text{ even} \\ [q^{v+1}-q^{a-1}]y^{u+1}x^v e_i^{2t-1} + [q^{u+2}-1]y^u x^{v+1}e_{i-1}^{2t-1} & \text{for } i \text{ odd} \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

$$\delta_{2t+1} : y^u x^v e_i^{2t+1} \mapsto$$

$$\begin{cases} [q^{a-1}-q^v]y^{u+1}x^v e_i^{2t} + K_a(q^{u+2})y^u x^{v+a-1}e_{i-1}^{2t} & \text{for } i \text{ even} \\ qK_a(q^{v+2})y^{u+a-1}x^v e_i^{2t} + [q^{u+1}-1]y^u x^{v+1}e_{i-1}^{2t} & \text{for } i \text{ odd} \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

where we use the convention $e_{-1}^n = e_{n+1}^n = 0$. This was proved in [BE08] in a more general setting, and by specializing q and using that x, y have the same nilpotency index, we obtain precisely the above formulae.

For the following result we use this complex to compute the Hochschild cohomology of our algebra A, in the case when q is a primitive ath root of unity. The result shows that the dimensions of the cohomology groups do not depend on the characteristic of the field.

Theorem 3.1. *If q is a primitive ath root of unity, then $\dim_k \mathrm{HH}^n(A) = 2n+2$ for all $n \geq 0$.*

Proof. Since $\mathrm{HH}^0(A)$ is isomorphic to the centre of A, we see immediately that $\mathrm{HH}^0(A)$ is 2-dimensional. To find the dimension of $\mathrm{HH}^n(A)$ for $n > 0$, we compute $\ker \delta_{2t}$ for $t \geq 1$ and $\ker \delta_{2t+1}$ for $t \geq 0$.

We first compute $\ker \delta_{2t}$ for $t \geq 1$. Since k contains a primitive q-th root of unity, the characteristic of k does not divide a. The equalities $0 = 1 - (q^m)^a = (1 - q^m)K_a(q^m)$, valid for any integer m, imply that $K_a(q^v + 1) = 0$ if and only if $0 \geq v \geq a - 2$, whereas $K_a(q^u + 1) = 0$ if and only if $0 \geq u \geq a - 2$. Therefore

$$\delta_{2t}(y^u x^v e_i^{2t}) \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} u \in \{0, \dots, a-2\},\ v \in \{0, \dots, a-2\},\ i \text{ even} \\ u = a-1\ v \in \{0, \dots, a-1\},\ i \text{ even} \\ u \in \{0, \dots, a-2\},\ v = a-1,\ i \text{ even} \\ u = 0,\ v = a-1,\ i = 2t \\ u = a-1,\ v = 0,\ t = 0 \\ u = a-2,\ v = a-2,\ i \text{ odd} \\ u = a-1,\ v = a-1,\ i \text{ odd} \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

and there are $a^2t + a^2$ such elements. As for linear combinations in $\ker \delta_{2t}$ of at least two basis vectors from $\oplus_{i=0}^{2t}(_\nu A_1)e_i^{2t}$, they appear in pairs. Namely, they are linear combinations of the pairs

$$x^{a-1}e_i^{2t} + Cy^{a-1}x^{a-2}e_{i+1}^{2t} i = 0, 2, \dots, 2t-2 \tag{3.7}$$

$$y^{a-1}e_i^{2t} + C'y^{a-2}x^{a-1}e_{i-1}^{2t} i = 2, 4, \dots, 2t \tag{3.8}$$

where C and C' are scalars whose values depend on all the parameters involved. There are $2t$ such elements, hence $\dim_k \ker \delta_{2t} = (a^2 + 2)t + a^2$.

Next we compute $\ker \delta_{2t+1}$ for $t \geq 0$, recall that the characteristic of k does not divide a. We see that

$$\delta_{2t+1}(y^u x^v e_i^{2t+1}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} u = a-1\ v \in \{0, \dots, a-1\},\ i \text{ arbitrary} \\ u \in \{0, \dots, a-2\},\ v = a-1,\ i \text{ arbitrary} \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

and there are $(2a-1)(2t+2)$ such elements. As for linear combinations in $\ker \delta_{2t+1}$ of at least two basis vectors from $\oplus_{i=0}^{2t+1}(_\nu A_1)e_i^{2t+1}$, also here they appear in pairs. Namely, they are linear combinations of the pairs

$$y^u x^v e_i^{2t+1} + Cy^{u+1}x^{v-1}e_{i+1}^{2t+1} \tag{3.10}$$

for $0 \leq u \leq a-3$, $1 \leq v \leq a-2$ and $i = 0, 2, \dots, 2t$, and where C is a scalar. There are $(a-2)^2(t+1)$ such pairs (note that, if $a = 2$, then the requirements on u and v are empty, but this causes no problem since by the formula there are no pairs in this situation), and so $\dim_k \ker \delta_{2t+1} = (a^2 + 2)t + a^2 + 2$.

We have now computed $\ker \delta_{2t}$ for $t \geq 1$ and $\ker \delta_{2t+1}$ for $t \geq$. Using the equalities

$$\dim_k \operatorname{im} \delta_n + \dim_k \ker \delta_n = \dim_k \oplus_{i=0}^{n}(_\nu A_1)e_i^n) = (n+1)a^2, \tag{3.11}$$

we see that $\dim_k \operatorname{im} \delta_{2t+1} = \dim_k \operatorname{im} \delta_{2t+2} = (a^2 - 2)(t+1)$. Consequently

$$\dim_k \mathrm{HH}^{2t+1}(A) = \dim_k \ker \delta_{2t+1} - \dim_k \operatorname{im} \delta_{2t+2} \tag{3.12}$$

$$= 4t + 4 \tag{3.13}$$

$$\dim_k \mathrm{HH}^{2t+2}(A) = \dim_k \ker \delta_{2t+2} - \dim_k \operatorname{im} \delta_{2t+3} \tag{3.14}$$

$$= 4t + 6 \tag{3.15}$$

for $t \geq 0$, and the proof is complete. □

This result implies immediately the following:

Corollary 3.2. *The dimension of the cohomology groups* $\mathrm{HH}^n(A)$ *is independent of* a.

4. Hochschild cohomology when $a = 2$

In this section we let $a = 2$ and $q = -1$ (and $\mathrm{char}(k) \neq 2$), so we have that

$$A = k\langle X, Y\rangle/(X^2, XY + YX, Y^2). \tag{4.1}$$

We write x, y again for the images of X, Y in A. We will rewrite the differentials for the minimal projective resolution before studying the even cohomology ring $\mathrm{HH}^{2*}(A)$ for this case.

4.1. **Minimal projective resolution when $a = 2$.** We introduce the following notation:

$$\beta_y = (1 \otimes y) + (y \otimes 1) \qquad \beta_x = (1 \otimes x) + (x \otimes 1) \tag{4.2}$$

$$\alpha_y = (1 \otimes y) - (y \otimes 1) \qquad \alpha_x = (1 \otimes x) - (x \otimes 1) \tag{4.3}$$

Now we can rewrite the differentials for the minimal projective resolution $\mathbb{P}$ in Equation 2.10 and 2.11; we get:

$$d_n(f_i^n) = \begin{cases} (-1)^i(\beta_y f_i^{n-1} + \beta_x f_{i-1}^{n-1}) & \text{when } n \text{ is even} \\ (-1)^i(\alpha_y f_i^{n-1} - \alpha_x f_{i-1}^{n-1}) & \text{when } n \text{ is odd .} \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

4.2. **Description of cohomology groups.** In Section 3 we have seen that $\dim \mathrm{HH}^n(A) = 2n + 2$. Knowing this, we will determine a basis for $\mathrm{HH}^n(A)$ for arbitrary even degrees n. We write δ_{ir} as usual for the Kronecker symbol.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $n = 2t$. For $r = 0, 1, \ldots, 2t$ define maps $\xi_r, \eta_r : P_{2t} \to A$ as follows.*

$$\xi_r(f_i^{2t}) = \delta_{ir} \cdot 1_A, \qquad \eta_r(f_i^{2t}) = \delta_{ir} \cdot xy. \tag{4.5}$$

(a) The classes of these maps form a basis for $\mathrm{HH}^{2t}(A)$.
(b) The classes of the η_r give nilpotent elements in $\mathrm{HH}^*(A)$.

Proof. Part (b) will follow from Proposition 2.1. We prove now part (a). Note that these are $2n + 2$ elements, so we only have to show that the maps are in the kernel of d_{2t+1}^*, and that they are linearly independent modulo the image of d_{2t}^*.

(1) We apply ξ_r to $d_{2t+1}(f_i^{2t+1})$, this gives

$$\xi_r[(-1)^i(\alpha_y f_i^{2t} - \alpha_x f_{i-1}^{2t})] = (-1)^i[\alpha_y[\delta_{ir} \cdot 1_A] - \alpha_x[\delta_{i-1,r} \cdot 1_A]] = 0 \tag{4.6}$$

(we view A as a left A^e module, and $\alpha_y \cdot 1_A = 0 = \alpha_x \cdot 1_A$). Similarly we apply η_r to $d_{2t+1}(f_i^{2t+1})$ and get

$$\eta_r[(-1)^i(\alpha_y f_i^{2t} - \alpha_x f_{i-1}^{2t})] = (-1)^i[\alpha_y[\delta_{ir} \cdot xy] - \alpha_x[\delta_{i-1,r} \cdot xy]] = 0 \tag{4.7}$$

(since xy is in the socle of A we see that $\alpha_y \cdot xy = 0$ and $\alpha_x \cdot xy = 0$).

(2) Let $c_r, d_r \in K$ and $\rho : P_{2t-1} \to A$ such that

$$\sum_{r=0}^{2t} c_r\xi_r + d_r\eta_r = \rho \circ d_{2t} \in \mathrm{im}(d_{2t}^*). \tag{4.8}$$

We must show that $c_r = 0 = d_r$ for all r. Write $\rho(f_i^{2t-1}) = p_i = a_i + b_i x + c_i y + d_i xy \in A$. Then we have

$$\rho \circ d_{2t}(f_i^{2t}) = (-1)^i[\beta_y p_i + \beta_x p_{i-1}] = (-1)^i[2a_i y + 2a_{i-1}x] \tag{4.9}$$

which are elements in A. On the other hand if we apply the map given by the sum to f_i^{2t} then we get

$$c_i \ + \ d_i xy \tag{4.10}$$

also elements in A. We assume these are equal, and it follows that all scalars are zero.

□

4.3. **Products in even degrees of** $\mathrm{HH}^*(A)$**.** Recall that the even part $\mathrm{HH}^{2*}(A)$ is a subring of the Hochschild cohomology, and it is commutative. The aim of this section is to prove the following:

Theorem 4.2. *Let k be a field with char$(k) \neq 2$, and let $A = k\langle X, Y\rangle/(X^2, XY + YX, Y^2)$. R be the subalgebra $R := k\langle \xi_i^{2t} : t \geq 0, 0 \leq i \leq 2t\rangle$ of the even Hochschild cohomology ring of A. This is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded, with*

$$R_0 := k\langle \xi_i^{2t} : i \text{ even } \rangle, \qquad R_1 := k\langle \xi_i^{2t} : i \text{ odd } \rangle. \tag{4.11}$$

Then R_0 is isomorphic to the polynomial ring $k[z_0, z_1]$ where we identify ξ_0^2 with z_0 and ξ_2^2 with z_1. Moreover, the odd part is equal to $R_1 = R_0\xi_1^2$ and $\xi_1^2 \cdot \xi_1^2 = \xi_2^4$.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the largest homogeneous nilpotent ideal of* $\mathrm{HH}^{2*}(A)$*. Then*

$$\mathrm{HH}^{2*}(A)/\mathcal{N} \cong R. \tag{4.12}$$

We fix a degree $2t$, and we will compute the product of a general element ξ of degree $2t$ with an element χ of degree $2m$ and we let $2m$ vary. We take representatives $\xi : P_{2t} \to A$ and $\chi : P_{2m} \to A$ which are k-linear combinations of the basis. Let

$$\xi(f_i^{2t}) = p_i \in A \qquad \text{with } 0 \leq i \leq 2t \tag{4.13}$$

$$\chi(f_i^{2m}) = \overline{p}_i \in A \qquad \text{with } 0 \leq i \leq 2m. \tag{4.14}$$

By (4.5), the elements p_i and $\bar{p}_i$ are then in the centre of A, we will use this freely.

Definition 4.4. The Yoneda product $\xi \bullet \chi$ is the residue class of $\chi \circ h_{2m}$ where the family (h_s) with $h_s : P_{2t+s} \to P_s$ is a lifting of ξ. That is, we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccc}
P_{2t+2m} & \xrightarrow{d_{2t+2m}} & P_{2t+2m-1} & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & P_{2t+s} & \xrightarrow{d_{2t+s}} & P_{2t+s-1} & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & P_{2t+1} & \xrightarrow{d_{2t+1}} & P_{2t} & & \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle h_{2m}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_{2m-1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_s} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_{s-1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_0} \searrow^{\xi} & & \\
P_{2m} & \xrightarrow{d_{2m}} & P_{2m-1} & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & P_s & \xrightarrow{d_s} & P_{s-1} & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & P_1 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & P_0 & \xrightarrow{\mu} & A \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \chi} & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
A & & & & & & & & & & & &
\end{array}$$

where $\xi = \mu \circ h_0$ and where all squares commute. We define maps h_s $(0 \leq s \leq 2m)$, and will show that they are a lifting.

$$h_s(f_i^{2t+s}) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{s} p_{i-j} f_j^s & \text{when } s \text{ even} \\ (-1)^i \left(\sum_{j=0}^{s} (-1)^j p_{i-j} f_j^s \right) & \text{when } s \text{ odd} \end{cases} \tag{4.15}$$

Proposition 4.5. *The maps h_s for $0 \leq s \leq s$ make the lifting diagram commutative, i.e. $d_s \circ h_s = h_{s-1} \circ d_{2t+s}$.*

Proof. When $2t$ is fixed the proof of this result is an examination when s is even and when s odd, and the result follows from explicit calculations.

Case s even: We have

$$(d_s \circ h_s)(f_i^{2t+s}) = d_s \circ \left(\sum_{j=0}^{s} p_{i-j} f_j^s \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{s} p_{i-j} d_s(f_j^s) \tag{4.16}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{s} p_{i-j} (-1)^j \left(\beta_y f_j^{s-1} + \beta_x f_{j-1}^{s-1} \right)$$

$$(h_{s-1} \circ d_{2t+s})(f_i^{2t}) = h_{s-1} \circ \left((-1)^i \left(\beta_y f_i^{2t+s-1} + \beta_x f_{i-1}^{2t+s-1} \right) \right) \tag{4.17}$$

$$= \beta_y h_{s-1}(f_i^{2t+s-1}) + \beta_x h_{s-1}(f_{i-1}^{2t+s-1})$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} (-1)^j \beta_y p_{i-j} f_j^{s-1} + (-1)^{i-1} \sum_{j=0}^{s} (-1)^j \beta_x p_{i-(j+1)} f_j^{s-1}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{s} (-1)^j p_{i-j} (\beta_y f_j^{s-1} + \beta_x f_{j-1}^{s-1})$$

We observe that the expressions are equal, hence $d_s \circ h_s = h_{s-1} \circ d_{2t+s}$ and we conclude that h_s is a lifting map when s is even.

Case s odd: We calculate

$$(d_s \circ h_s)(f_i^{2t+s}) = d_s \circ \left((-1)^i \sum_{j=0}^{s} (-1)^j p_{i-j} f_j^s \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{s} (-1)^j p_{i-j} d_s f_j^s \tag{4.18}$$

$$= (-1)^i \sum_{j=0}^{s} (-1)^j p_{i-j} (-1)^j (\alpha_y f_j^{s-1} - \alpha_x f_{j-1}^{s-1})$$

$$= (-1)^i \sum_{j=0}^{s} p_{i-j} (\alpha_y f_j^{s-1} - \alpha_x f_{j-1}^{s-1})$$

$$(h_{s-1} \circ d_{2t+s})(f_i^{2t}) = h_{s-1} \circ \left((-1)^i \left(\alpha_y f_i^{2t+s-1} - \alpha_x f_{i-1}^{2t+s-1} \right) \right) \tag{4.19}$$

$$= (-1)^i \sum_{j=0}^{s} (-1)^j p_{i-j} (\alpha_y f_j^{s-1} - \alpha_x f_{j-1}^{s-1})$$

The expressions are equal, which proves the odd case as well, and that h_s is a lifting map after all. □

4.4. **Description of Yoneda products.** In Section 4.2 we have described a basis for $\mathrm{HH}^{2t+2m}(A)$. Now we compute the Yoneda product of $\xi \in \mathrm{HH}^{2t}(A)$ and $\chi \in \mathrm{HH}^{2m}(A)$ as linear combination of κ_r and η_r for $0 \leq r \leq 2t + 2m$:

$$\chi \bullet \xi = \sum_{r=0}^{2t+2m} u_r \kappa_r + v_r \eta_r \tag{4.20}$$

where

$$\kappa_r(f_i^{2t+2m}) = \delta_{ir} \cdot 1_A \qquad \eta_r(f_i^{2t+s}) = \delta_{ir} \cdot xy \tag{4.21}$$

hence we get

$$(\xi \bullet \chi)(f_i^{2t+2m}) = u_i \cdot 1_A + v_i \cdot xy. \tag{4.22}$$

By letting u_i and v_i denote maps

$$u_i : f_i^{2t+2m} \mapsto x \qquad \text{and} \qquad v_i : f_i^{2t+s} \mapsto y \tag{4.23}$$

we observe that this is isomorphic to the polynomial algebra with two generators.

Corollary 4.6. *Let $\xi(f_r^{2t}) = p_r \in A$ and $\chi(f_r^{2m}) = \bar{p}_r \in A$. Then*

$$\chi \circ h_{2m}(f_i^{2t+2m}) = \sum_{0\leq j\leq 2m \text{ and } 0\leq i-j\leq 2t} p_{i-j}\bar{p}_j. \tag{4.24}$$

In particular if we let ξ_i^{2m} and ξ_j^{2t} denote the basis elements of Lemma 4.1 then we have

$$\xi_i^{2m} \cdot \xi_j^{2t} = \xi_{i+j}^{2t+2m}. \tag{4.25}$$

Proof. We apply the lifting formula and obtain the first part directly. If we take $\chi = \xi_i^{2m}$ and $\xi = \xi_j^{2t}$ then $p_i = 1$ and $p_r = 0$ for $r \neq i$ and similarly $\bar{p}_j = 1$ and $\bar{p}_r = 0$ otherwise. So we get that the image of f_ν^{2t+2m} is 1 if $\nu = i + j$ and is zero otherwise. The last part follows. □

4.5. **Completing the proof of Theorem 4.2.** To see that R_0 is as stated, we let the ξ_0^{2t} correspond to the powers of z_0, and we let the ξ_{2t}^{2t} correspond to the powers of z_1 and in general ξ_i^{2t} for i even corresponds to the product $z_0^{2t-i}z_1^i$. The formula in the Corollary may be used to see that this gives an algebra isomorphism. The rest follows from the previous results.

The Corollary is a direct consequence: The intersection of R with $\mathcal{N}$ is zero, and as we have observed, any element in the span of maps η_r is in $\mathcal{N}$. □

5. Cohomology for $a \geq 3$

Now we study the cohomology when $a \geq 3$. Still let q be an ath root of unity and assume the algebra is

$$A = k\langle X, Y\rangle/(X^a, XY - qYX, Y^a). \tag{5.1}$$

We write again x, y for the images of X, Y in A.

5.1. **Differentials.** We assume $a \geq 3$, then we can simplify the differentials defined in 2.10 and 2.11. We observe that the elements in A introduced in 2.6 to 2.9 depend only on the parity of s modulo a, and the arguments in 2.10 and 2.11 make only use of the cases where $s \equiv 0$ or $s \equiv 1$ modulo a. Using this the differentials take the following form which we will use from now:

$$d_{2t} : f_i^{2t} \mapsto \begin{cases} \gamma_y(0)f_i^{2t-1} + \gamma_x(0)f_{i-1}^{2t-1} & \text{for } i \text{ even} \\ -\tau_y(1)f_i^{2t-1} + \tau_x(1)f_{i-1}^{2t-1} & \text{for } i \text{ odd} \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

$$d_{2t+1} : f_i^{2t+1} \mapsto \begin{cases} \tau_y(0)f_i^{2t} + \gamma_x(1)f_{i-1}^{2t} & \text{for } i \text{ even} \\ -\gamma_y(1)f_i^{2t} + \tau_x(0)f_{i-1}^{2t} & \text{for } i \text{ odd} \end{cases} \tag{5.3}$$

where we have replaced

$$\tau_1 = \tau_x \qquad \tau_2 = \tau_y \qquad \gamma_1 = \gamma_x \qquad \gamma_2 = \gamma_x \tag{5.4}$$

5.2. **A basis for $\mathrm{HH}^{2t}(A)$ for $a \geq 3$.** As observed the dimension of the degree $2t$ part is always $4t + 2$ which is independent of a. We therefore expect that there should be a basis when $a \geq 3$ which is not so different from the one we had for $a = 2$.

Definition 5.1. Let $\zeta_j : P_{2t} \to A$ be the map

$$\zeta_j(f_i^{2t}) = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{5.5}$$

Let j be even, then define

$$\eta_j^+(f_i^{2t}) = \begin{cases} x^{a-1}y^{a-1} & i = j \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{5.6}$$

Now let j be odd, then define

$$\eta_j^-(f_i^{2t}) = \begin{cases} xy & i = j \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{5.7}$$

Lemma 5.2. *We fix a degree $2t$.*

(a) The classes of the elements ζ_i and $\eta_j^{\pm}$ as defined above form a basis of $\mathrm{HH}^{2t}(A)$.

(b) The classes of the elements $\eta_j^{\pm}$ give nilpotent elements of $\mathrm{HH}^*(A)$.

Proof. Part (b) will follow again from Proposition 2.1. We prove now part (a). These are in total $4t+2$ maps, so we only have to show that the maps lie in the kernel of d_{2t+1}^*, and that they are linearly independent modulo the image of d_{2t}^*.

(1) Let ξ be one these maps. We write $\xi(f_i^{2t}) = p_i \in A$, so that p_i is either 0 or 1 or one of $x^{a-1}y^{a-1}$ or xy depending on the parity of i. We need to check that $\xi(d_{2t+1}(f_i^{2t})) = 0$.

(a) Assume i is even, then this is equal to

$$\xi(\tau_y(0)f_i^{2t} + \gamma_x(1)f_{i-1}^{2t}) = \tau_y(0)p_i + \gamma_x(1)p_{i-1}. \tag{5.8}$$

This has to be calculated in A which is viewed as an A^e left module. We have

$$\tau_y(0)p_i = p_i y - y p_i \tag{5.9}$$

This is zero if $p_i = 1$. Otherwise since i is even we only need to consider $p_i = x^{a-1}y^{a-1}$ and then $p_i y = 0$ and $yp_i = 0$. Next, if $p_{i-1} = 1$ then

$$\gamma_x(1)p_{i-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} q^j x^{a-1-j} \cdot 1 \cdot x^j = (\sum_{j=0}^{a-1} q^j)x^{a-1} \tag{5.10}$$

and this is zero, note that $1 + q + \ldots + q^{a-1} = 0$ since q is an a-th root of 1. Otherwise $p_{i-1} = xy$ and then

$$\gamma_x(1)p_{i-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} q^j (x^{a-1-j}xyx^j) \tag{5.11}$$

and this is a scalar multiple of $x^a y$ and hence is zero.

(b) Let i be odd, we get

$$\xi(-\gamma_y(1)f_i^{2t} + \tau_x(0)f_{i-1}^{2t}) = -\gamma_y(1)p_i + \tau_x(0)p_{i-1}. \tag{5.12}$$

By calculations similar to part (a) we see that this is zero in all cases to be considered.

(2) We consider a linear combination of the above elements and assume that it lies in the image of d_{2t}^*. Explicitly let

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2t} c_j\zeta_j + \sum_{j \text{ even}} s_j^+\eta_j^+ + \sum_{j \text{ odd}} s_j^-\eta_j^- = \xi \circ d_{2t} \tag{5.13}$$

where $\xi : P_{2t-1} \to A$, with c_j and $s_j^{\pm}$ in k. We must show that this is only possible, as ξ varies, with all c_j and $s_j^{\pm}$ equal to zero.

(a) Apply the LHS to f_i^{2t} with i even, this gives

$$c_i + s_i^+(x^{a-1}y^{a-1}). \tag{5.14}$$

On the other hand,

$$\xi \circ d_{2t}(f_i^{2t}) = \gamma_y(0)\xi(f_i^{2t-1}) + \gamma_x(0)\xi(f_{i-1}^{2t-1}). \tag{5.15}$$

This is an element in A viewed as an A^e left module. For any element $z \in A$, $\gamma_x(0)z$ or $\gamma_y(0)z$ can never have a constant term since $\gamma_x(0)$ and $\gamma_y(0)$ are in the radical of A^e. Hence the Equation (5.15) does never have a non-zero constant term and $c_i = 0$.

We claim that we also cannot get a term which is a multiple of $x^{a-1}y^{a-1}$. Namely if so this could only come from either $\gamma_y(0)x^{a-1}$ or from $\gamma_x(0)y^{a-1}$. Now,

$$\gamma_y(0)x^{a-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} y^j x^{a-1} y^{a-1-j} = \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (q^{-1})^{j(a-1)} x^{a-1} y^{a-1} = 0 \tag{5.16}$$

since $\sum_{j=1}^{a-1} q^j = 0$. Hence $s_i^+ = 0$.

(b) Apply the LHS to f_i^{2t} with i odd, this gives

$$c_i + s_i^-(xy). \tag{5.17}$$

On the other hand,

$$\xi \circ d_{2t}(f_i^{2t}) = -\tau_y(1)\xi(f_i^{2t-1}) + \tau_x(1)\xi(f_{i-1}^{2t-1}). \tag{5.18}$$

As before, since $\tau_y(1)$ and $\tau_x(1)$ are in the radical of A^e, this cannot have non-zero constant terms. Hence $c_i = 0$.

We must check that we cannot get xy. If xy should occur in $\tau_y(1)$ this can only come from $\tau_y(1)x$ but this is equal to $xy - qyx = 0$. Similarly $\tau_x(1)y = 0$ and we do not get xy. Hence $s_i^- = 0$.

We have proved that the $4t+2$ maps are linearly independent modulo the image of d_{2t}^*. By dimensions, they are a basis of $\mathrm{HH}^{2t}(A)$. □

The aim of this section is to prove the following.

Theorem 5.3. *Let k be a field, $a \geq 3$ an integer, $q \in k$ a primitive ath root of unity, and A the quantum complete intersection $k\langle X, Y\rangle/(X^a, XY - qYX, Y^a)$. Assume*

$$R = k - \mathrm{Sp}\{\zeta_i^{2t} : \ t \geq 0 \ \text{ and } 0 \leq i \leq 2t\}.$$

Then R is a subalgebra of $\mathrm{HH}^{2*}(A)$. It is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded with $R_0 = \langle \zeta_i^{2t} : i \text{ even}\rangle$ and $R_1 = \langle \zeta_i^{2t} : i \text{ odd}\rangle$. Moreover

$$\zeta_l^{2m} \cdot \zeta_r^{2t} = \begin{cases} 0 & l, r \text{ odd} \\ \zeta_{l+r}^{2m+2t} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{5.19}$$

As for the case $a = 2$ we can see:

Corollary 5.4. *The even part R_0 of R is isomorphic to the polynomial ring in two variables.*

Corollary 5.5. *Assume A is as in the Theorem, and let $\mathcal{N}$ be the largest homogeneous nilpotent ideal of $\mathrm{HH}^{2*}(A)$. Then $\mathrm{HH}^{2*}(A)/\mathcal{N}$ is isomorphic to R_0.*

5.3. **Lifting.** We compute the Yoneda product $\chi \bullet \xi$ where χ, ξ are k-linear combinations of maps ζ_j as in Definition 5.1.

For ξ in the span of the ζ_j, the values of ξ are scalars and therefore they commute with elements of A^e. Luckily, we are only interested in the even Hochschild cohomology modulo homogeneous nilpotent elements.

Similar as for the case where $a = 2$ we use liftings along the minimal projective resolution to define the Yoneda products in the cohomology ring. Let $\xi : P_{2t} \to A$ where

$$\xi(f_i^{2t}) := p_i \tag{5.20}$$

and we assume p_i is a scalar multiple of 1, for all i. We restricted to the subring of this kind. Consequently the values p_i commute with all elements in A^e. As usual we set $p_i = 0$ if $i > 2t$ or if $i < 0$.

The map $h_0 : P_{2t} \to P_0$ is defined by

$$h_0(f_i^{2t}) := p_i f_0^0 \qquad (0 \leq i \leq 2t). \tag{5.21}$$

Moreover, we search explicit formulae for maps

$$h_s : P_{2t+s} \to P_s. \tag{5.22}$$

For $s \geq 1$ we should have

$$h_{s-1} \circ d_{2t+s} = d_s \circ h_s. \tag{5.23}$$

5.3.1. *Some formulae in A^e.* In order to define these lifting maps h_s for $s > 0$ we establish some formulae in A^e. Let

$$c_i = 1 + q + \ldots + q^i \qquad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq a-2. \tag{5.24}$$

Definition 5.6. For an integer s we define

$$\beta_x(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{a-2} c_i q^{si} (x^{a-2-i} \otimes x^i) \tag{5.25}$$

$$\beta_y(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{a-2} c_i q^{si} (y^i \otimes y^{a-2-i}) \tag{5.26}$$

Recall now the elements in A^e which occur in the definition of the differentials:

$$\gamma_y(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} q^{js} (y^j \otimes y^{a-1-j}) \tag{5.27}$$

$$\gamma_x(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} q^{js} (x^{a-1-j} \otimes x^j) \tag{5.28}$$

At the end we only need $s = 0$ and $s = 1$

$$\tau_y(1) = (1 \otimes y) - q(y \otimes 1) \tag{5.29}$$

$$\tau_x(1) = q(1 \otimes x) - (x \otimes 1) \tag{5.30}$$

$$\tau_y(0) = (1 \otimes y) - (y \otimes 1) \tag{5.31}$$

$$\tau_x(0) = (1 \otimes x) - (x \otimes 1). \tag{5.32}$$

With this notation, we will define maps $h_s : P_{2t+s} \to P_s$, defined on the generators f_i^{2t+s} of the free A^e module P_{2t+s}, and we will show below that they lift ξ:

Definition 5.7.
Assume s is even. For an integer i we define the following elements in the algebra,

$$\omega_+(j) = \begin{cases} \beta_x(-1)\beta_y(1) & j \text{ odd} \\ 1 & j \text{ even} \end{cases} \qquad \omega_-(j) = 1 \tag{5.33}$$

We will show that a lifting formula is given by

$$h_s(f_i^{2t+s}) := \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{s} p_{i-j}\omega_+(j) f_j^s & i \text{ even} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{s} p_{i-j}\omega_-(j) f_j^s & i \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \tag{5.34}$$

Now assume s is odd. Here we need two parameters in A^e, one for x and one for y. We set

$$\varepsilon_x(j) = \begin{cases} -\beta_x(1) & j \text{ odd} \\ 1 & j \text{ even} \end{cases} \qquad \varepsilon_y(j) = \begin{cases} 1 & j \text{ odd} \\ -\beta_y(0) & j \text{ even.} \end{cases} \tag{5.35}$$

We will show that a lifting formula is given by

$$h_s(f_i^{2t+s}) := \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{s} p_{i-j}\varepsilon_x(j)f_j^s & i \text{ even} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{s} p_{i-j}\varepsilon_y(j)f_j^s & i \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \tag{5.36}$$

Lemma 5.8. *We have that the following relations hold:*

(a) $$\beta_y(1)\tau_y(1) = \gamma_y(2)$$

(b) $$\beta_x(-1)\gamma_y(2) = \gamma_y(0)\beta_x(0)$$

(c) $$\beta_x(-1)\beta_y(1) = \beta_y(-1)\beta_x(1)$$

(d) $$\beta_x(1)\tau_x(1) = -\gamma_x(2)$$

(e) $$\beta_y(-1)\gamma_x(2) = \gamma_x(0)\beta_y(0)$$

(f) $$\beta_y(0)\tau_y(0) = \gamma_y(1)$$

(g) $$\beta_x(0)\tau_x(0) = -\gamma_x(1)$$

(h) $$\tau_y(1)\beta_y(0) = \gamma_y(0)$$

(i) $$\tau_x(0)\beta_x(-1) = -\gamma_x(-1)$$

(j) $$\gamma_x(-1)\beta_y(1) = \beta_y(0)\gamma_x(1)$$

(k) $$\tau_y(0)\beta_y(-1) = \gamma_y(-1)$$

(l) $$\tau_x(1)\beta_x(0) = -\gamma_x(0)$$

(m) $$\beta_x(0)\gamma_y(1) = \gamma_y(-1)\beta_x(1)$$

Proof. We prove (a) and (b), and the other relations follows from the same kind of reasoning. Start with (a), we have

$$\beta_y(1)\tau_y(1) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{a-2} c_i q^i (y^i \otimes y^{a-2-i})\right)((1\otimes y) - q(y\otimes 1)) \tag{5.37}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{a-2} \left(c_i q^i (y^i \otimes y^{a-1-i}) - c_i q^{i+1}(y^{i+1}\otimes y^{a-2-i})\right) \tag{5.38}$$

$$= c_0(1\otimes y^{a-1}) + c_1 q(y\otimes y^{a-2}) + \cdots + c_{a-2}q^{a-2}(y^{a-2}\otimes y) \tag{5.39}$$

$$- c_0 q(y\otimes y^{a-2}) - \cdots - c_{a-3}q^{a-2}(y^{a-2}\otimes y) - c_{a-2}q^{a-1}(y^{a-1}\otimes 1) \tag{5.40}$$

$$= c_0(1\otimes y^{a-1}) + q(c_1-c_0)(y\otimes y^{a-2}) + q^2(c_2-c_1)(y^2\otimes y^{a-3}) + \tag{5.41}$$

$$\cdots + q^{a-2}(c_{a-2}-c_{a-3})(y^{a-2}\otimes y) - q^{a-1}c_{a-2}(y^{a-1}\otimes 1) \tag{5.42}$$

where we have that $c_0 = 1$, $c_1 - c_0 = 1 + q - 1 = q$

$$c_{i+1} - c_i = (1 + q + \cdots + q^{i+1}) - (1 + q + \cdots + q^i) = q^{i+1} \tag{5.43}$$

We also observe

$$c_{a-1} = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{a-2} = -q^{a-1} \tag{5.44}$$

since a is a root of unity and hence $1 + q + \cdots + q^{a-2} + q^{a-1} = 0$. Then we have,

$$\beta_y(1)\tau_y(1) = (1\otimes y^{a-1}) + q^2(y\otimes y^{a-2}) + \cdots + q^{2(a-1)}(y^{a-1}\otimes 1) = \gamma_y(2) \tag{5.45}$$

For the relation (b) we inspect a typical element in this sum:

$$c_i q^{-i}(x^{a-2-i}\otimes x^i)q^{2j}(y^j\otimes y^{a-1-j}) = c_i q^{-i}q^{2j}(x^{a-2-i}y^j \otimes x^i * y^{a-1-j}) \tag{5.46}$$

(where $*$ denotes the multiplication in A^{op}). Now we recall that $xy = qyx$ (and $x * y = q^{-1}y * x$) hence $x^{a-2-i}y^j = q^{j(a-2-i)}y^j x^{a-2-i}$ and $x^i * y^{a-1-j} = q^{-i(a-1-j)}y^{a-1-j} * x^i$. We get

$$c_i q^{-i} q^{2j} q^{j(a-2-i)} q^{-i(a-1-j)} (y^j x^{a-2-i} \otimes y^{a-1-j} * x^i) = (y^j \otimes y^{a-2-j}) c_j (x^{a-2-i} \otimes x^i) \tag{5.47}$$

which is the most typical element in the sum $\gamma_y(0)\beta_x(0)$. □

The relations (a) to (m) in Lemma 5.8 can be used to prove that the maps h_s are liftings for the given map ξ:

Proposition 5.9. *The lifting formulas make the suggested squares commutative, that is $h_{s-1} \circ d_{2t+s} = d_s \circ h_s$ when $s \geq 1$ and $\xi = \mu \circ h_0$.*

Proof. We give details when s and i are even, the other cases are similar. The strategy is to apply both sides to f_i^{2t+s} and express the answer in terms of the basis $\{f_j^{s-1}\}$, with coefficients in A^e and then show that the coefficients of the f_j^{s-1} in the two expressions are equal.

We have

$$(d_s \circ h_s)(f_i^{2t+s}) = d_s \circ (\sum_{j=0}^{s} p_{i-j}\omega_+(j) f_j^s) \tag{5.48}$$

$$= \sum_{j \text{ even},\ 0 \leq j \leq s} p_{i-j}\omega_+(j)[\gamma_y(0) f_j^{s-1} + \gamma_x(0) f_{j-1}^{s-1}] \tag{5.49}$$

$$+ \sum_{j \text{ odd},\ 0 \leq j \leq s} p_{i-j}\omega_+(j)[-\tau_y(1) f_j^{s-1} + \tau_x(1) f_{j-1}^{s-1}] \tag{5.50}$$

We split each of the two sums, and when the index is $j-1$ we change variables, setting $l = j-1$ so that $j = l+1$ and noting that l has opposite parity as j. As well we set $\omega_+(j) = 1$ for j even. Then this becomes

$$= \sum_{j \text{ even},\ 0 \leq j \leq s} p_{i-j}\gamma_y(0) f_j^{s-1} + \sum_{l \text{ odd},\ -1 \leq l \leq s-1} p_{i-l-1}\gamma_x(0) f_l^{s-1} \tag{5.51}$$

$$+ \sum_{j \text{ odd},\ 0 \leq j \leq s} -p_{i-j}\omega_+(j)\tau_y(1) f_j^{s-1} + \sum_{l \text{ even},\ -1 \leq l \leq s-1} p_{i-l-1}\omega_+(l+1)\tau_x(1) f_l^{s-1} \tag{5.52}$$

The range of summation can be unified since $f_j^s = 0$ for $j = -1$ or $j = s$. We write this now as a combination in the A^e-basis f_j^{s-1} for $0 \leq j \leq s-1$, (writing j for l) and we get

$$= \sum_{j \text{ even},\ 0 \leq j \leq s-1} [p_{i-j}\gamma_y(0) + p_{i-j-1}\omega_+(j+1)\tau_x(1)] f_j^{s-1}$$

$$+ \sum_{j \text{ odd},\ 0 \leq j \leq s-1} [p_{i-j-1}\gamma_x(0) - p_{i-j}\omega_+(j)\tau_y(1)] f_j^{s-1} \tag{5.53}$$

On the other hand

$$(h_{s-1} \circ d_{2t+s})(f_i^{2t+s}) = h_{s-1} \circ (\gamma_y(0) f_i^{2t+s-1} + \gamma_x(0) f_{i-1}^{2t+s-1}) \tag{5.54}$$

$$= \gamma_y(0)[\sum_{j=0}^{s-1} p_{i-j}\varepsilon_x(j) f_j^{s-1}] + \gamma_x(0)[\sum_{j=0}^{s-1} p_{i-1-j}\varepsilon_y(j) f_j^{s-1}] \tag{5.55}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} [p_{i-j}\gamma_y(0)\varepsilon_x(j) + p_{i-1-j}\gamma_x(0)\varepsilon_y(j)] f_j^{s-1} \tag{5.56}$$

We must show that for each j the coefficients of f_j^{s-1} in (5.53) and in (5.56) are equal.

(a) Assume first j is even. We require

$$p_{i-j}\gamma_y(0) + p_{i-j-1}\omega_+(j+1)\tau_x(1) = p_{i-1}\gamma_y(0)\varepsilon_x(j) + p_{i-j-1}\gamma_x(0)\varepsilon_y(j) \tag{5.57}$$

For j even, $\varepsilon_x(j) = 1$ and the first terms agree. The second terms agree provided

$$\omega_+(j+1)\tau_x(1) = \gamma_x(0)\varepsilon_y(j) \tag{5.58}$$

Consider the LHS, by identities (c), (d) and (e) it is equal to

$$\beta_y(-1)\beta_x(1)\tau_x(1) = -\beta_y(-1)\gamma_x(2) = -\gamma_x(0)\beta_y(0) = \gamma_x(0)\varepsilon_y(j) \tag{5.59}$$

from the definition of $\varepsilon_y(j)$ in this case. Hence the second terms agree as well.

(b) Now assume j is odd. We require

$$p_{i-j-1}\gamma_x(0) - p_{i-j}\omega_+(j)\tau_y(1) = p_{i-j}\gamma_y(0)\varepsilon_x(j) + p_{i-1-j}\gamma_x(0)\varepsilon_y(j) \tag{5.60}$$

For j odd, $\varepsilon_y(j) = 1$ and the terms with p_{i-j-1} agree. For the other two terms to agree we need

$$\gamma_y(0)\varepsilon_x(j) = -\omega_+(j)\tau_y(1) \tag{5.61}$$

We have using the definition and identities (a) and (b) that

$$-\omega_+(j)\tau_y(1) = -\beta_x(-1)\beta_y(1)\tau_y(1) = -\beta_x(-1)\gamma_y(2) = -\gamma_y(0)\beta_x(0) = \gamma_y(0)\varepsilon_x(j) \tag{5.62}$$

as required.

□

Similar as for the case $a = 2$ we define the Yoneda product of the residue classes represented by ξ and χ to be the residue class represented by the composition

$$\chi \bullet \xi = \chi \circ h_s. \tag{5.63}$$

5.4. **Description of Yoneda products of basis elements when $a \geq 3$.** In the definition 5.7 of the lifting maps, we have the term $\omega_+(j) = \beta_x(-1)\beta_y(1) \in A^e$ (for j odd). When this is evaluated in A, it becomes $\omega_+(j) \cdot 1_A$. We claim that this is always zero, in fact $\beta_y(1) \cdot 1_A = 0$.

Namely, we must view A as an A^e bimodule and then

$$\beta_y(1) \cdot 1_A = \sum_{i=0}^{a-2} c_i q^i y^{a-2} = (\sum_{i=0}^{a-2} c_i q^i) y^{a-2} \tag{5.64}$$

The following shows that this is zero:

Lemma 5.10. *Let q be a primitive a-th root of unity for $a \geq 3$. Let $c_i = 1 + q + \ldots + q^i$ for $i \geq 0$, then*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{a-2} c_i q^i = 0 \tag{5.65}$$

Proof. Set also $c_{-1} := 0$. Then we have for $i \geq 0$ that $c_i - c_{i-1} = q^i$. We get

$$\sum_{i=0}^{a-2} c_i q^i = \sum_i c_i (c_i - c_{i-1}) \tag{5.66}$$

Therefore (all summations from $i = 0$ to $a-2$)

$$\begin{aligned}(1+q)(\sum_i c_i q^i) =& \sum_i c_i q^i + \sum_i c_i q^{i+1} \\ =& \sum_i c_i(c_i - c_{i-1}) + \sum_i c_i(c_{i+1} - c_i) \\ =& \sum_i (c_i c_{i+1} - c_i c_{i-1}) \\ =& c_{a-2}c_{a-1} - c_0 c_{-1} \\ =& 0\end{aligned}$$

since $c_{a-1} = 1 + q + \ldots + q^{a-1} = 0$ and $c_{-1} = 0$. But $q \neq -1$, so we can cancel by $(1+q)$ and get the claim. □

We analyse now the products, and this will complete the proof of Theorem 5.3. Define

$$R = \mathrm{Sp}\{\zeta_i^{2t} : t \geq 0, 0 \leq i \leq 2t\}. \tag{5.67}$$

We compute products of elements in R.

Let χ be of degree $2m$ and ξ of degree $2t$, both in R. Let $\xi(f_i^{2t}) = p_i \in K$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2t$ and $\chi_(f_j^{2m}) = \bar{p}_j \in K$ for $0 \leq j \leq 2m$. As before we set $p_i = 0$ for $0 < i$ of $i > 2t$, and similarly we define $\bar{p}_j$ for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Then $\chi \bullet \xi$ is the class of $\chi \circ h_{2m}$ where (h_s) is a lifting of ξ, where we use the formula computed above. Note that we only need the case when $s = 2m$ is even. We have

$$\chi \circ h_s(f_i^{2t+s}) = \chi(\sum_{j=0}^{s} p_{i-j}\omega_+(j) f_j^s) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{s} p_{i-j}\omega_+(j)\bar{p}_j & i \text{ even} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{s} p_{i-j}\bar{p}_j & i \text{ odd} \end{cases} \tag{5.68}$$

where we have already used that $\omega_-(j) = 1$.

Now assume $\chi = \zeta_l$ for some $0 \leq l \leq s$, so $\bar{p}_l = 1$ and $p_j = 0$ otherwise. Then the above simplifies to

$$f_i^{2t+s} \mapsto \begin{cases} p_{i-l}\omega_+(l) \cdot 1 & i \text{ even} \\ p_{i-l} \cdot 1 & i \text{ odd} \end{cases} \tag{5.69}$$

Now take $\xi = \zeta_r$ for some $0 \leq r \leq 2t$. Then $p_{i-l} = 1$ if $i - l = r$, and $= 0$ otherwise.

Note that $\omega_+(l) \cdot 1_A = 0$ for l odd and $= 1$ otherwise. The zero occurs precisely when l is odd and $i = l + r$ is even, i.e. if both l, r are odd. So we get

$$\zeta_l^{2m} \cdot \zeta_r^{2t} = \begin{cases} \zeta_{l+r}^{2m+2t} & l, r \text{ not both odd} \\ 0 & l, r \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \tag{5.70}$$

As for the case $a = 2$ we see that R_0 is isomorphic to the polynomial ring in two variables.

Furthermore, we see that elements in R_1 are also nilpotent. The subalgebra R_0 intersects the largest homogeneous nilpotent ideal $\mathcal{N}$ trivially, and the span of the $\eta^{\pm}$ is contained in $\mathcal{N}$.

Acknowledgements. Both authors thank Petter A. Bergh for his joint notes with Karin Erdmann. The second author (Magnus Hellstrøm-Finnsen) would like to thank the first author (Karin Erdmann) a lot for the invitation to Oxford and is very grateful for spending these months on this wonderful place. Thanks for valuable discussions on this project and on various topics in general, and for including me into the mathematical community in Oxford. Thanks also to Petter for arranging and help with applications. The Research Council of Norway supports the PhD work of the second author through the research project *Triangulated categories in algebra* (NFR 221893). The Research Council of Norway has also supported the second author with oversea grant in the occasion of the stay in Oxford.

References

[BE08] P. A. Bergh and K. Erdmann, *Homology and cohomology of quantum complete intersections*, Algebra Number Theory vol. **2** (2008), no. 5, 501–522.

[BGMS05] R.-O. Buchweitz, E. L. Green, D. Madsen, and Ø. Solberg, *Finite Hochschild cohomology without finite global dimension*, Math. Res. Lett. vol. **12** (2005), no. 5-6, 805–816.

[CE99] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics, With an appendix by David A. Buchsbaum, Reprint of the 1956 original, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (1999), xvi+390.

[EHSST04] K. Erdmann, M. Holloway, N. Snashall, Ø. Solberg, and R. Taillefer, *Support varieties for selfinjective algebras*, K-Theory vol. **33** (2004), no. 1, 67–87.

[Hap89] D. Happel, *Hochschild cohomology of finite-dimensional algebras*, Séminaire d'Algèbre Paul Dubreil et Marie-Paul Malliavin, 39ème Année (Paris, 1987/1988), vol. **1404**, Lecture Notes in Math. Springer, Berlin (1989), 108–126.

[Man87] Y. I. Manin, *Some remarks on Koszul algebras and quantum groups*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) vol. **37** (1987), no. 4, 191–205.

[Sch86] R. Schulz, *Boundedness and periodicity of modules over QF rings*, J. Algebra vol. **101** (1986), no. 2, 450–469.

[Sch94] R. Schulz, *A nonprojective module without self-extensions*, Arch. Math. (Basel) vol. **62** (1994), no. 6, 497–500.

[Sol06] Ø. Solberg, *Support varieties for modules and complexes*, Trends in representation theory of algebras and related topics, vol. **406**, Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2006), 239–270.

[SS04] N. Snashall and Ø. Solberg, *Support varieties and Hochschild cohomology rings*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) vol. **88** (2004), no. 3, 705–732.

Karin Erdmann, Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, OX2 6GG Oxford, United Kingdom
E-mail address: karin.erdmann@maths.ox.ac.uk

Magnus Hellstrøm-Finnsen, Institutt for matematiske fag, Norges teknisk-naturvitenskapelige universitet, N-7491 Trondheim, Norway
E-mail address: magnus.hellstrom-finnsen@math.ntnu.no